KDIGO Clinical Practice Guideline for the Care of Kidney Transplant Recipients

CLOBAL OUTCO

ROVING

R

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Siii	Disclaimer
Siv	Work Group Membership
Svi	KDIGO Board Members
Svii	Abbreviations and Acronyms
Sviii	Reference Keys
S1	Abstract
S2	Foreword
S3	Guideline Scope and Intended Users
S6	Chapter 1: Induction Therapy
S10	Chapter 2: Initial Maintenance Immunosuppressive Medications
S14	Chapter 3: Long-Term Maintenance Immunosuppressive Medications
S16	Chapter 4: Strategies to Reduce Drug Costs
S19	Chapter 5: Monitoring Immunosuppressive Medications
S21	Chapter 6: Treatment of Acute Rejection
S23	Chapter 7: Treatment of Chronic Allograft Injury
S27	Chapter 8: Monitoring Kidney Allograft Function
S30	Chapter 9: Kidney Allograft Biopsy
S33	Chapter 10: Recurrent Kidney Disease
S38	Chapter 11: Preventing, Detecting, and Treating Nonadherence
S41	Chapter 12: Vaccination
S44	Chapter 13: Viral Diseases
S44	13.1: BK Polyoma Virus
S46	13.2: Cytomegalovirus
S48	13.3: Epstein-Barr Virus and Post-Transplant Lymphoproliferative Disease
S50	13.4: Herpes Simplex Virus 1, 2 and Varicella Zoster Virus
S52	13.5: Hepatitis C Virus
S53	13.6: Hepatitis B Virus
S57	13.7: Human Immunodeficiency Virus
S59	Chapter 14: Other Infections
S59	14.1: Urinary Tract Infection
S60	14.2: Pneumocystis Jirovecii Pneumonia
S61	14.3: Tuberculosis
S62	14.4: <i>Candida</i> Prophylaxis
S66	Chapter 15: Diabetes Mellitus
S66	15.1: Screening for New-Onset Diabetes after Transplantation
S68	15.2: Managing NODAT or Diabetes Present at Transplantation
S71	Chapter 16: Hypertension, Dyslipidemias, Tobacco Use, and Obesity
S71	16.1: Hypertension
S73	16.2: Dyslipidemias
S75	16.3: Tobacco Use
S77	16.4: Obesity
S80	Chapter 17: Cardiovascular Disease Management
S84	Chapter 18: Cancer of the Skin and Lip
S86	Chapter 19: Non–Skin Malignancies
S89	Chapter 20: Managing Cancer with Reduction of Immunosuppressive Medication
S93	Chapter 21: Transplant Bone Disease
S97	Chapter 22: Hematological Complications
S102	Chapter 23: Hyperuricemia and Gout

- S104 Chapter 24: Growth and Development
- S106 Chapter 25: Sexual Function and Fertility
- **25.1: Sexual Function**
- **25.2: Female Fertility**
- S108 **25.3: Male Fertility**
- S110 Chapter 26: Lifestyle
- S111 Chapter 27: Mental Health
- S112 Appendix: Methods for Guideline Development
- S125 Biographic and Disclosure Information
- S129 Acknowledgments
- S131 References

TABLES

S9	Table 1. Risk of acute rejection in multivariate analyses
S15	Table 2. Toxicity profiles of immunosuppressive medications
S17	Table 3. CNI cost reduction from the concomitant use of ketoconazole
S28	Table 4. Routine screening after kidney transplantation
S28	Table 5. Some causes of proteinuria after kidney transplantation
S29	Table 6. Definitions of proteinuria and albuminuria
S31	Table 7. Diagnostic criteria for acute kidney injury
S34	Table 8. Screening for recurrent diseases
S38	Table 9. Assessment of medication adherence
S39	Table 10. Risk factors for medication nonadherence
S39	Table 11. A summary of interventions aimed at improving medication adherence
S42	Table 12. Recommended vaccines after kidney transplantation
S42	Table 13. Contraindicated vaccinations after transplantation
S45	Table 14. Treatment of BKV nephropathy by modification of maintenance immunosuppression
S50	Table 15. Categories of PTLD
S56	Table 16. Outcomes of clinical trials of lamivudine therapy
S61	Table 17. Antimicrobial agents for the prevention of PCP in KTRs
S64	Table 18. Independent predictors of CVD in KTRs
S66	Table 19. Criteria for the diagnosis of diabetes
S67	Table 20. Risk factors for NODAT
S69	Table 21. Pharmacological management of diabetes in KTRs
S72	Table 22. Guideline definitions of hypertension
S72	Table 23. Adult blood pressure thresholds for defining hypertension
S73	Table 24. Advantages and disadvantages of major antihypertensive agent classes in KTRs
S76	Table 25. Pharmacological therapies for cigarette smoking cessation in KTRs
S77	Table 26. Definition and classification of obesity in adults
S77	Table 27. Definition and classification of obesity for children and adolescents 6 years of age and older
S79	Table 28. National Heart Lung Blood Institute weight-loss treatment guidelines
S82	Table 29. Cancers categorized by SIR for kidney transplant patients and cancer incidence
S90	Table 30. Viral-associated cancers
S98	Table 31. Medications associated with hematologic abnormalities
S114	Table 32. Systematic review topics and screening criteria
S118	Table 33. Literature search yield of RCTs
S119	Table 34. Hierarchy of outcomes
S119	Table 35. Classification of study quality
S120	Table 36. Example of an evidence profile
S122	Table 37. GRADE system for grading quality of evidence
S122	Table 38. Final grade for overall quality of evidence
S123	Table 39. Balance of benefits and harm
S123	Table 40. KDIGO nomenclature and description for grading recommendations
S124	Table 41. Determinants of strength of recommendations

Additional information in the form of Supporting tables can be found online at http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/journal/118499698/toc

FIGURES

- S56 **Figure 1. HBeAg clearance vs. lamivudine duration.**
- S118 Figure 2. Literature search diagram for systematically reviewed RCTs.

SECTION I: USE OF THE CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE

This Clinical Practice Guideline document is based upon the best information available as of March 2009. It is designed to provide information and assist decisionmaking. It is not intended to define a standard of care, and should not be construed as one, nor should it be interpreted as prescribing an exclusive course of management.

Variations in practice will inevitably and appropriately occur when clinicians take into account the needs of individual patients, available resources, and limitations unique to an institution or type of practice. Every health-care professional making use of these recommendations is responsible for evaluating the appropriateness of applying them in the setting of any particular clinical situation. The recommendations for research contained within this document are general and do not imply a specific protocol.

SECTION II: DISCLOSURE

Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) makes every effort to avoid any actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest that may arise as a result of an outside relationship or a personal, professional, or business interest of a member of the Work Group.

All members of the Work Group are required to complete, sign, and submit a disclosure and attestation form showing all such relationships that might be perceived or actual conflicts of interest. This document is updated annually and information is adjusted accordingly. All reported information is published in its entirety at the end of this document in the Work Group members' Biographic and Disclosure Information section, and is on file at the National Kidney Foundation (NKF), Managing Agent for KDIGO.

KDIGO gratefully acknowledges the following sponsors that make our initiatives possible: Abbott, Amgen, Belo Foundation, Coca-Cola Company, Dole Food Company, Genzyme, Hoffmann-LaRoche, JC Penney, NATCO-The Organization for Transplant Professionals, National Kidney Foundation-Board of Directors, Novartis, Robert and Jane Cizik Foundation, Shire, Transwestern Commercial Services, and Wyeth. KDIGO is supported by a consortium of sponsors and no funding is accepted for the development of specific guidelines.

Work Group Membership

Work Group Co-Chairs

Bertram L. Kasiske, MD Hennepin County Medical Center Minneapolis, MN Martin G. Zeier, MD, FASN University Hospital of Heidelberg Heidelberg, Germany

Work Group

Jonathan C. Craig, MBChB, MM (Clin Epi), DCH, FRACP, PhD The Children's Hospital at Westmead Westmead, Australia

Henrik Ekberg, MD, PhD Lund University Malmö, Sweden

Catherine A. Garvey, RN, BA, CCTC University of Minnesota Minneapolis MN

Michael D. Green, MD, MPH Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA

Vivekanand Jha, MD, FRCP Postgraduate Medical Institute Chandigarh, India

Michelle A. Josephson, MD University of Chicago Chicago, IL

Bryce A. Kiberd, MD Dalhousie University Halifax, Canada Henri A. Kreis, MD Université Paris Descartes & Hôpital Necker Paris, France

Ruth A. McDonald, MD University of Washington Seattle Children's Hospital Seattle, WA

John M. Newmann, PhD, MPH Health Policy Research & Analysis Reston, VA

Gregorio T. Obrador, MD, MPH Universidad Panamericana School of Medicine Mexico City, Mexico

Liaison to The Transplantation Society and the Global Alliance of Transplantation: Jeremy R. Chapman, MD, FRACP, FRCP Westmead Hospital Westmead, Australia

Liaison to the American Society of Transplantation Flavio G. Vincenti, MD University of California at San Francisco San Francisco, CA

Evidence Review Team

Tufts Center for Kidney Disease Guideline Development and Implementation, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA:

Ethan M. Balk, MD, MPH, Project Director and Director, Evidence-based Medicine Martin Wagner, MD, MS, Assistant Project Director Gowri Raman, MD, Research Fellow Samuel Abariga, MD, MS, Research Associate Amy Earley, BS, Project Coordinator

In addition, support and supervision were provided by:

Katrin Uhlig, MD, MS, Director, Guideline Development Joseph Lau, MD, Methods Consultant

Work Group Member Expertise and Disclosure Information

Work Group Member	Area of Expertise	Disclosures	
Bertram L. Kasiske, MD Work Group Co-Chair; USA	Transplant Nephrologist	Advisor/Consultant: Astellas; LithoLink; Novartis; Wyeth Grant/Research Support: Bristol-Myers Squibb; Genzyme; Merck-Schering Plough	
Martin G. Zeier, MD, FASN Work Group Co-Chair; Germany	Transplant Nephrologist	Grant/Research Support: Astellas; Novartis; Parexel	
Jeremy R. Chapman, MD, FRACP, FRCP Australia	Transplant Nephrologist; Liaison for The Transplantation Society and the Global Alliance for Transplantation	Advisor/Consultant: Astellas; Hoffmann-LaRoche; Novartis; Wyeth Grant/Research Support: Bristol-Myers Squibb; Novartis; Wyeth	
Jonathan C. Craig, MBChB, MM (Clin Epi), DCH, FRACP, PhD Australia	Pediatrician, Transplant Nephrologist	No relevant financial relationships reported.	
Henrik Ekberg, MD, PhD Sweden	Transplant Surgeon	Advisor/Consultant: Astellas; Bristol-Myers Squibb; Hansa Medical; Hoffmann-LaRoche; Life Cycle Pharma; Novartis; Wyeth	
		Speaker: Astellas; Hoffmann-LaRoche	
Catherine A. Garvey, RN, BA, CCTC USA	Transplant Coordinator	No relevant financial relationships reported.	
Michael D. Green, MD, MPH USA	Pediatrician, Transplant Infectious Disease Specialist	No relevant financial relationships reported.	
Vivekanand Jha, MD, FRCP India	Transplant Nephrologist	No relevant financial relationships reported.	
Michelle A. Josephson, MD USA	Transplant Nephrologist	Advisor/Consultant: Digitas Health; MKSAP; Wyeth Speaker: Hoffmann-LaRoche Grant/Research Support: Amgen; Astellas; Wyeth	
Bryce A. Kiberd, MD Canada	Transplant Nephrologist	Speaker: Hoffmann-LaRoche	
Henri A. Kreis, MD France	Transplant Nephrologist	Advisor/Consultant: Novimmune	
Ruth A. McDonald, MD USA	Pediatrician, Transplant Nephrologist	No relevant financial relationships reported.	
John M. Newmann, PhD, MPH USA	Transplant Recipient	Advisor/Consultant: Arbor Research Collaborative; Renaissance Health Care	
Gregorio T. Obrador, MD, MPH Mexico	Transplant Nephrologist	No relevant financial relationships reported.	
Flavio G. Vincenti, MD USA	Transplant Nephrologist; Liaison for the American Society of Transplantation	Grant/Research Support: Astellas; Bristol-Myers Squibb; Genentech; Hoffmann-LaRoche; Novartis; Wyeth	

KDIGO Board Members

Garabed Eknoyan, MD Norbert Lameire, MD Founding KDIGO Co-Chairs

Kai-Uwe Eckardt, MD KDIGO Co-Chair Bertram L. Kasiske, MD KDIGO Co-Chair

Omar I. Abboud, MD, FRCP Sharon Adler, MD, FASN Sharon P. Andreoli, MD Robert Atkins, MD Mohamed Benghanem Gharbi, MD, PhD Gavin J. Becker, MD, FRACP Fred Brown, MBA, FACHE Jerilynn D. Burrowes, PhD, RD Evelyn Butera, MS, RN, CNN Daniel Cattran, MD, FRCPC Allan J. Collins, MD, FACP Ricardo Correa-Rotter, MD William G. Couser, MD **Olivier Coustere** Adrian Covic, MD, PhD Jonathan C. Craig, MBChB, MM (Clin Epi), DCH, FRACP, PhD Angel de Francisco, MD Paul de Jong, MD Tilman B. Drüeke, MD, FRCP Denis P. Fouque, MD, PhD Gordon Guyatt, MD, MSc, BSc, FRCPC Philip Halloran, MD, PhD David Harris, MD

Michel Jadoul, MD Vivekanand Jha, MD, FRCP Martin K. Kuhlmann, MD Suhnggwon Kim, MD, PhD Adeera Levin, MD, FRCPC Nathan W. Levin, MD, FACP Philip K.T. Li, MD, FRCP, FACP Zhi-Hong Liu, MD Francesco Locatelli, MD Alison M. MacLeod, MBChB, MD, FRCP Pablo Massari, MD Peter A. McCullough, MD, MPH, FACC, FACP, FCCP, FAHA Rafique Moosa, MD Miguel C. Riella, MD Bernardo Rodriquez-Iturbe, MD Robert Schrier, MD Trent Tipple, MD Yusuke Tsukamoto, MD Raymond Vanholder, MD Giancarlo Viberti, MD, FRCP Theodor Vogels, MSW David Wheeler, MD, FRCP Carmine Zoccali, MD

KDIGO Guideline Development Staff

Kerry Willis, PhD, Senior Vice-President for Scientific Activities Donna Fingerhut, Managing Director of Scientific Activities Michael Cheung, Guideline Development Director Dekeya Slaughter-Larkem, Guideline Development Project Manager Sean Slifer, Scientific Activities Manager

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ACCORD	Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes	HBsAb HBsAg	Antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen Hepatitis B surface antigen
ACE-I	Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor	HBV	Hepatitis B Virus
ADA	American Diabetes Association	HCV	Hepatitis C Virus
ADVANCE	Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease	HDL-C	High-density linoprotein cholesterol
AGREE	Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and		Human immunodeficiency virus
AGHEL	Evaluation		Human laukoovte antigen
ALC	Antilymphonyto globulin		
ALG	Alanina aminetroneferees		
	Antineutrophil exterlearnic entitledy		Herpes simplex virus
ANCA	Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody	HUS	Hemolytic-uremic syndrome
ARB	Anglotensin II receptor blocker	IgA	
AIG	Antitnymocyte globulin	IgG	
AUC	Area under concentration-time curve	IL2	Interleukin 2
BCG	Bacillus Calmette-Guérin	IL2-RA	Interleukin-2 receptor antagonist
BKV	BK polyoma virus	IF/TA	Interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy
BMD	Bone mineral density	KDIGO	Kidney Disease: Improving Global
BMI	Body mass index		Outcomes
CAD	Coronary artery disease	KDOQI	Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality
CAI	Chronic allograft injury		Initiative
CAN	Chronic allograft nephropathy	KTR	Kidney transplant recipient
CCB	Calcium-channel blocker	LDL-C	Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
CDC	US Centers for Disease Control and	MMF	Mycophenolate mofetil
	Prevention	MPA	Mycophenolic acid
CHE	Congestive heart failure	MPGN	Membranoproliferative
CI	Confidence Interval		alomerulonenhritis
CKD	Chronic kidney disease	mTORi	Mammalian target of ranamycin
	Chronic kidney disease-mineral and	mion	inhibitor(s)
CRD-INIDD	hone disorder	ΝΛΤ	Nucleic acid testing
CNAV/	Cutomogoloviruo		National Institutes of Health
	Cyloinegalovirus		National Institutes of Health
	Carcineurin innibitor		National Nuney Foundation
		NUDAI	New-onset diabetes after transplantation
CSA		OK13	Nuromonab (anti–1-cell antibody)
CSA-IVIE	Cyclosporine A microemulsion	PIH	Paratnyroid normone
CSF	Colony-stimulating factor	PCP	Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia
CVD	Cardiovascular disease	PPD	Purified protein derivative
CYP3A4	Cytochrome P450 3A4	PRA	Panel-reactive antibody
D	Transplant donor	PSA	Prostate-specific antigen
DGF	Delayed graft function	PTLD	Post-transplant lymphoproliferative
EBV	Epstein-Barr virus		disease
EC-MPS	Enteric-coated mycophenolate sodium	PVD	Peripheral vascular disease
eGFR	Estimated glomerular filtration rate	R	Transplant recipient
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay	RCT	Randomized controlled trial
ERT	Evidence Review Team	RR	Relative risk
ESA	Erythropoiesis-stimulating agent	rhGH	Recombinant human growth hormone
FDA	Food and Drug Administration	SIR	Standardized incidence ratio
FOBT	Fecal occult blood testing	ТВ	Tuberculosis
FSGS	Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis	UKPDS	United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes
GBM	Glomerular basement membrane	0111 20	Study
GER	Glomerular filtration rate	USPSTE	US Preventive Services Task Force
HbA.	Hemoglobin A.		Urinary tract infection
	Antibody to henatitis B core antigen	V7V	Varicella zoster virus
	Honatitie B E antigen		World Health Organization
пвежу	nepatitis D E alltigen	VIIU	wond health Organization

Reference Keys

NOMENCLATURE AND DESCRIPTION FOR RATING GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

Within each recommendation, the strength of recommendation is indicated as Level 1, Level 2, or Not Graded, and the quality of the supporting evidence is shown as A, B, C, or D.

Grade*	Implications			
	Patients	Clinicians	Policy	
Level 1 'We recommend'	Most people in your situation would want the recommended course of action and only a small proportion would not.	Most patients should receive the recommended course of action.	The recommendation can be adopted as a policy in most situations.	
Level 2 'We suggest'	The majority of people in your situation would want the rec- ommended course of action, but many would not.	Different choices will be appropriate for different patients. Each patient needs help to arrive at a manage- ment decision consistent with her or his values and preferences.	The recommendation is likely to require debate and involvement of stakeholders before policy can be determined.	

* The additional category 'Not Graded' was used, typically, to provide guidance based on common sense or where the topic does not allow adequate application of evidence. The most common examples include recommendations regarding monitoring intervals, counseling, and referral to other clinical specialists. The ungraded recommendations are generally written as simple declarative statements, but are not meant to be interpreted as being stronger recommendations than Level 1 or 2 recommendations.

A: High quality of evidence. We are confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

B: Moderate quality of evidence. The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

C: Low quality of evidence. The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

D: Very low quality of evidence. The estimate of effect is very uncertain, and often will be far from the truth.

Conversion Factors of	Metric	Units to	SI	Units
------------------------------	--------	----------	----	-------

Parameter	Metric Units	Conversion Factor	SI Units
Albumin	g/dL	10	g/L
Calcium	mg/dL	0.2495	mmol/L
Cholesterol	mg/dL	0.02586	mmol/L
Creatinine	mg/dL	88.4	µmol/L
Creatinine clearance	mL/min	0.01667	mL/s
Glucose	mg/dL	0.05551	mmol/L
Hemoglobin	g/dL	10	g/L
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)	mg/dL	0.02586	mmol/L
Insulin	µU/mL	7.175	pmol/L
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C)	mg/dL	0.02586	mmol/L
Neutrophil count	#/µL	1 x 10 ⁶	#/L
Parathyroid hormone	pg/mL	1	ng/L
Phosphate (as inorganic phosphorus)	mg/dL	0.3229	mmol/L
Platelet count	#/µL	1 x 10 ⁶	#/L
Protein, total	g/dL	10	g/L
Titers	copies/mL	1000	copies/L
Triglycerides	mg/dL	0.01129	mmol/L
Uric acid	mg/dL	59.48	µmol/L
Urinary oxalate excretion	mg/dL	11.11	µmol/d
Urinary protein excretion	g/dL	1000	mg/dL
Vitamin D, 25-Hydroxyvitamin D	ng/mL	2.496	nmol/L

Note: Metric unit x conversion factor = SI unit.

doi: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2009.02834.x

Abstract

The 2009 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) clinical practice guideline on the monitoring, management, and treatment of kidney transplant recipients is intended to assist the practitioner caring for adults and children after kidney transplantation. The guideline development process followed an evidence-based approach, and management recommendations are based on systematic reviews of relevant treatment trials. Critical appraisal of the quality of the evidence and the strength of recommendations followed the Grades of Recommendation Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach. The guideline makes recommendations for immunosuppression, graft monitoring,

as well as prevention and treatment of infection, cardiovascular disease, malignancy, and other complications that are common in kidney transplant recipients, including hematological and bone disorders. Limitations of the evidence, especially on the lack of definitive clinical outcome trials, are discussed and suggestions are provided for future research.

Keywords: Guideline; KDIGO; kidney transplant recipient care; immunosuppression; graft monitoring; infectious disease; cardiovascular disease; malignancy; mineral and bone disorder; hematological complications; hyperuricemia; gout; growth; sexual function; fertility; mental health

In citing this document, the following format should be used: Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Transplant Work Group. KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the care of kidney transplant recipients. *American Journal of Transplantation* 2009; 9(Suppl 3): S1–S157.

Since the first successful kidney transplantation in 1954, there has been an exponential growth in publications dealing with the care of kidney transplant recipients (KTRs). In addition, the science of conducting and interpreting both clinical trials and observational studies has become increasingly controversial and complex. Caring for KTRs requires specialized knowledge in areas as varied as immunology, pharmacology, nephrology, endocrinology and infectious disease. The last two comprehensive clinical practice guidelines on the care of KTRs were published in 2000 by the American Society of Transplantation and the European Best Practices Guidelines Expert Group. Both of these guidelines were based primarily on expert opinion, not rigorous evidence review. For these reasons, the international consortium of kidney guideline developers, Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO), concluded that a new comprehensive evidence-based clinical practice quideline for the care of KTRs was necessary.

It is our hope that this document will serve several useful purposes. Our primary goal is to improve patient care. We hope to accomplish this in the short term by helping clinicians know and better understand the evidence (or lack of evidence) that determines current practice. By making this guideline broadly applicable, our purpose is to also encourage and enable the establishment and development of transplant programs worldwide. Finally, by providing comprehensive evidence-based recommendations, this guideline will also help define areas where evidence is lacking and research is needed. Helping to define a research agenda is an often neglected, but very important function of clinical practice guideline development.

We used the GRADE system to rate the strength of evidence and the strength of recommendations. In all, there were only 4 (2%) recommendations in this guideline for which the overall quality of evidence was

graded 'A,' whereas 27 (13.6%) were graded 'B,' 77 (38.9%) were graded 'C,' and 90 (45.5%) were graded 'D.' Although there are reasons other than quality of evidence to make a grade 1 or 2 recommendation, in general, there is a correlation between the quality of overall evidence and the strength of the recommendation. Thus, there were 50 (25.3%) recommendations graded '1' and 148 (74.7%) graded '2.' There were 3 (1.5%) recommendations graded '1A,' 16 (8.1%) were '1B,' 18 (9.1%) were '1C,' and 13 (6.6%) were '2B,' 59 (29.8%) were '2C,' and 77 (38.9%) were '2D.' There were 45 (18.5%) statements that were not graded.

Some argue that recommendations should not be made when evidence is weak. However, clinicians still need to make clinical decisions in their daily practice, and they often ask 'what do the experts do in this setting'? We opted to give guidance, rather than remain silent. These recommendations were often rated with a low strength of recommendation and a low strength of evidence, or were not graded. It is important for the users of this guideline to be cognizant of this (see Disclaimer). In every case these recommendations are meant to be a place for clinicians to start, not stop, their inquiries into specific management questions pertinent to the patients they see in daily practice.

We wish to thank Martin Zeier, Co-Chair, along with all of the Work Group members who volunteered countless hours of their time developing this guideline. We also thank the Evidence Review Team members and staff of the National Kidney Foundation who made this project possible. Finally, we owe a special debt of gratitude to the many KDIGO Board members and individuals who volunteered time reviewing the guideline, and making very helpful suggestions.

Kai-Uwe Eckardt, MD KDIGO Co-Chair Bertram L. Kasiske, MD KDIGO Co-Chair

Guideline Scope and Intended Users

This guideline describes the prevention and treatment of complications that occur after kidney transplantation. We do not include pretransplant care. Specifically, we do not address issues pertinent to the evaluation and management of candidates for transplantation, or the evaluation and selection of kidney donors.

Although many of the issues that are pertinent to KTRs are also pertinent to recipients of other organ transplants, we intend this guideline to be for KTRs only. We cover only those aspects of care likely to be different for KTRs than for patients in the general population. For example, we deal with the diagnosis and treatment of acute rejection, but not with the diagnosis and treatment of community-acquired pneumonia. We also make recommendations pertinent to the management of immunosuppressive medications and their complications, including infections, malignancies, and cardiovascular disease (CVD).

This guideline ends before the kidney fails, either by death of the recipient with a functioning graft, return to dialysis, or retransplantation. We do not deal with the preparation of KTRs for return to dialysis or retransplantation. This guideline was written for doctors, nurses, coordinators, pharmacists, and other medical professionals who directly or indirectly care for KTRs. It was not developed for administrative or regulatory personnel per se. For example, no attempts were made to develop clinical performance measures. Similarly, this guideline was not written for patients directly, although carefully crafted explanations of guideline recommendations could potentially provide useful information for patients.

This guideline was written for transplant-care providers throughout the world. As such, it addresses issues that are important to the care of KTRs in both developed and developing countries, but nowhere was the quality of care compromised for utilitarian purposes. Nevertheless, we recognize that, in many parts of the world, treatment of end-stage kidney disease (chronic kidney disease [CKD] stage 5) with dialysis is not feasible, and transplantation can only be offered as a life-saving therapy if it is practical and cost-effective. Therefore, in providing a comprehensive, evidence-based guideline for the care of the KTRs, we were cognizant of the fact that programs in some areas of the world may need to adopt cost-saving measures in order to make transplantation possible.